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Abstract

Recently, in a series of papers, a method based on pseudo-values has been proposed for direct regression modeling of the survival function, the restricted mean and cumulative incidence function with right censored data. The models, once the pseudo-values have been computed, can be fit using standard generalized estimating equation software. Here we present SAS macros and R functions to compute these pseudo-values. We illustrate the use of these routines and show how to obtain regression estimates for a study of bone marrow transplant patients.
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1. Introduction

In many applications investigators are interested in regression modeling of covariates on a survival outcome. The outcome may be the time to some event or the time until a competing risk event has occurred. Most applications use a Cox regression [1] model for the data. This approach models the hazard rate of the time to an event or in the case of competing risk data the crude hazard rate of the event in the presence of all the other risks [2]. Statistical procedures for the Cox model are available in most statistical packages [3].

Recently [4-8], we have developed a flexible technique to directly model survival quantities based on right censored data. The technique allows direct regression modeling of the survival function [9], the restricted mean survival time [5] and the cumulative incidence function for competing risks data [4,6,7,8]. The approach uses the pseudo values based on the difference between the complete sample and the leave-one-out estimators of relevant survival quantities. These pseudo-values are used in a generalized estimating equation (GEE) to model the effects of covariates on the outcome of interest.

To apply the methodology one needs to compute the pseudo-values for each observation. This needs to be performed only once. Once the pseudo values are obtained they can be used in a standard GEE program to obtain regression estimates.

In this report we present three SAS macros and three R functions to compute the pseudo-values for right censored data. The SAS macro and R function “pseudosurv” compute pseudo-values for modeling the survival function based on the Kaplan-Meier estimator. The SAS macro and R function “pseudomean” provide pseudo-values for the
restricted mean survival function. The SAS macro and R function “pseudoci” provide pseudo-values for the cumulative incidence function for competing risks data.

In Section 2 we present a summary of the statistical background for these regression models. In Section 3 we present our functions and macros. In section 4 we present an example of the macros and functions. Section 5 concludes with some closing remarks.

2. Methods

In this Section we present a general approach to censored data regression based on pseudo values [4]. This approach has been applied to regression models for the cumulative incidence functions in competing risks [4,6,7,8]; for state occupation probabilities in general multi-state models [4,8]; for the restricted mean [5] and to the survival function [9]. In its most general form let \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) be independent and identically distributed. The \( X_i \)'s may be random variables, vectors or processes. Let \( \theta = E[f(X_i)] \) for some \( f() \) which may be multivariate. Let \( \hat{\theta} \) be an unbiased (or approximately unbiased) estimator of \( \theta \).

Now suppose we have covariates \( Z_1, \ldots, Z_n \) which are an iid sample and define the conditional expectation of \( f(X_i) \) given \( Z_i \) by

\[
\theta_i = E[f(X_i)|Z_i].
\]

The \( i \)th pseudo-observation is defined as

\[
\hat{\theta}_i = n \cdot \hat{\theta} - (n-1) \hat{\theta}^{-i},
\]

where \( \hat{\theta}^{-i} \) is the “leave-one-out” estimator for \( \theta \) based on \( X_j, j \neq i \).

The regression model for \( \theta \) corresponds to a specification of the relationship between \( \theta_i \) and \( Z_i \) which is provided by a generalized linear model.
\[ g(\theta_i) = \beta^T Z_i \]  

with \( g(.) \) a link function. Typically we add a column \( Z_{i0} \) to \( Z_i \) to allow for an intercept \( \beta_0 \).

When \( \theta = (\theta(\tau_1), \ldots, \theta(\tau_M)) \) we add to \( Z_i \) indicators of the time points, \( \tau_j, j=1,\ldots,M \) to allow for different intercepts at each time. Deterministic time dependent covariates measured at each of the \( \tau_j \)'s are also possible. Estimates of the \( \beta \)'s are based on the unbiased estimating equations

\[
\sum_i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} g^{-1}(\beta^T Z_i) \right)^T V_i^{-1} \left( \theta_i - g^{-1}(\beta^T Z_i) \right) = \sum_i U_i(\beta) = U(\beta) = 0. 
\]  

Here \( V_i \) is a working covariance matrix. A sandwich estimator is used to estimate the variance of \( \hat{\beta} \). Let

\[
I(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial g^{-1}(\hat{\beta}^T Z_i)}{\partial \beta} \right)^T V_i^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial g^{-1}(\hat{\beta}^T Z_i)}{\partial \beta} \right),
\]

and

\[
\hat{\text{Var}}(U(\hat{\beta})) = \sum_i U_i(\hat{\beta})^T V_i(\hat{\beta}), \quad \text{then}
\]

\[
\hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}) \approx I(\hat{\beta})^{-1} \hat{\text{Var}}(U(\hat{\beta})) I(\hat{\beta})^{-1}^T.
\]

The estimators of \( \beta \) can be shown to be asymptotically normal by results of Liang and Zeger [10]. One can show that the sandwich estimator converges in probability to the true variance.

Once the pseudo-values have been computed estimators of \( \beta \) can be obtained by using standard software for Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) such as Proc Genmod in SAS or the function “geese” in R. In the next sections we present R and SAS routines to compute the pseudo-values in the three situations. First, we present a routine for use when the event of interest is the survival function. Here we need pseudo-values for \( S(\tau_i) = P[T > \tau_i] \) at a grid of time points \( \tau_1 < \ldots < \tau_M \). When \( M=1 \) this allows for a
regression model for the survival (or failure) probability at a single point in time. When $M > 1$ then inference is to an entire survival curve. Our experience [5,6] suggests that five to ten time points equally spaced on the event scale works well in most cases. For this parameter the pseudo-values are based on the Kaplan-Meier estimator [10], $\hat{S}(\cdot)$ defined by

$$\hat{S}(t) = \prod_{t_j \leq t} \frac{Y_j - d_j}{Y_j}, \quad (4)$$

where $t_1 < \ldots < t_D$ are the distinct event times, $Y_j$ the number at risk and $d_j$ the number of events at time $t_j$. Note that when there is no censoring the pseudo-value at $\tau$ reduces to the indicator that the observation is greater than $\tau$.

The second set of routines computes pseudo-values for the restricted mean lifetime [5]. Note that for survival data the mean time to event is the area under the survival curve:

$$\mu = \int_0^\infty S(u)du \quad (5)$$

For right censored data, and in particular when the largest on study time is censored, the estimated survival curve does not drop to zero and the estimator of $\mu$ obtained by plugging in the Kaplan-Meier estimator into (5) does not work well. An alternative to $\mu$ is, for $\tau > 0$, the restricted mean defined as the area under the survival curve up to time $\tau$ [2]. This quantity is equal to $E[\min(T, \tau)]$ and is estimated by the area under the Kaplan-Meier curve up to time $\tau$. That is

$$\hat{\mu}_\tau = \int_0^\tau \hat{S}(u)du.$$
The final set of functions deal with regression models for the cumulative incidence function \( C_k(t), k=1,2 \). For two competing risks with crude hazard rates, \( h_1(t) \) and \( h_2(t) \) the cumulative incidence function is given by

\[
C_k(t) = \int_0^t h_k(u) \exp \left\{ \int_0^u [h_1(v) + h_2(v)] dv \right\} du, k=1,2
\]

If \( t_1 < \ldots < t_D \) are the distinct times where one of the events occurs, \( Y_j \) the number at risk, \( d_{1j} \) (\( d_{2j} \)) the number of type 1 (type 2) events at time \( t_j \) then the estimate of the cumulative incidence is

\[
\hat{C}_k(t) = \sum_{t_j \leq t} \left[ \frac{d_{jk}}{Y_j} \prod_{t_i < t_j} \left[ \frac{Y_i - (d_{1i} + d_{2i})}{Y_i} \right] \right], k=1,2.
\]

3. The Functions

We first present the routines for finding pseudo-values for the survival function.

The SAS macro is pseudosurv(indata, time, dead, howmany, datatau, outdata). The arguments are

- Indata --- an input data set
- Time --- the name of the variable which contains the on study times
- Dead --- the death or event indicator (1 event, 0 censored)
- Howmany --- the sample size (n)
- Datatau --- a SAS data set with the single variable tau which is the M time points at which the pseudo-values are to be computed
- Outdata--- the name of the SAS data set that will contain the pseudo-values

The macro uses Proc Lifetest to compute the Kaplan-Meier estimators at the time points in the data set datatau. The output data set consists of M new lines for each observation each of which includes the original data and two new variables: pseudo which contains the pseudo value for this observation and tpseudo which contains the time point at which the pseudo-value was computed.
The corresponding R function is the object pseudosurv which has arguments

- Time—event time variable
- Cens—the event indicator (1 event, 0 censored)
- Tmax—a vector with the time points at which the pseudo-values are to be computed.

The function returns a new object with the original time and censoring variables and new variables containing the pseudo values. Here for M time points in Tmax an additional M columns are appended to the time and censoring matrix. Since no sorting of the data occurs in the function this can be appended to the original data to obtain an augmented file with the pseudo-values. The function uses the package “survival” in R.

To find pseudo-values for the restricted mean we have the SAS macro and R function pseudomean. The arguments of the two functions are the same as above, with the exception that the data set datatau in the SAS macro and Tmax in the R function are replaced by the maximum cut-off point $\tau$ for the restricted mean. For both functions the value of $\tau$ needs to be an interior point of the data. The functions are again based on Proc Lifereg in SAS and the package “survival” in R.

To find pseudo-values for the cumulative incidence functions the SAS macro ‘pseudoci’ and the R function ‘pseudoci’ are available. The SAS macro ‘pseudoci’ makes use of a macro ‘cuminc’ to compute the cumulative incidence function. The arguments of the SAS macro cuminc are

- datain—the input data set
- x --- the event time variable
- re --- the indicator of the first competing risk (1--- occurred, 0 --- otherwise)
- de --- the indicator of the second competing risk (1--- occurred, 0 --- otherwise)
- dataout --- the name of an output data set
- cir, cid --- variable names for the cumulative incidence function of the first and second competing risks, respectively

The macro uses PROC PHREG to obtain the crude hazard rates $h_k(t)$, by fitting two Cox models, one for each competing risk, with a single covariate defined to be zero for all
cases. The output statement yields the cumulative crude hazard rate which is converted to the hazard rate at the event times. These are combined in a data step to yield the cumulative incidence functions.

The cumulative incidence macro is called in the macro pseudoci (datain, x, re, de, howmany, datatau, dataout) which computes the pseudo-values at the time points in the data set datatau. An expanded data set, dataout, includes all the data in the dataset datain and for each tau in datatau an entry for each observation with the variables rpseudo, dpseudo, the pseudo values for risks one and two respectively and tpseudo, the time point at which each pseudo-value is computed.

The R function pseudoci has arguments time (the event time variable), status (1 if occurrence of risk 1, 2 if occurrence of risk 2 and 0 otherwise). The final argument is Tmax which is a list of time points at which the pseudo-values are to be computed. The function use routines in the “cmprsk” library. The routine produces an object containing the pseudo-values for both competing risks. The output object consists of columns for the time and status variable and the pseudo-values, alternating between the two competing risks.

All the SAS and R functions are available at our website at http://www.biostat.mcw.edu/software/SoftMenu.html

4. Example

To illustrate the macros and functions we use a data set on HLA matched sibling donor bone marrow transplants [12]. This data set, which consists of data on 137 transplant patients, can be found on our website at http://www.biostat.mcw.edu/homepgs/klein/bmt.html.
An abbreviated data set constructed from these data consists of the time to death, relapse or lost to follow-up (tdfs), the indicators of relapse and death (relapse, trm), the indicator of treatment failure (dfs=relapse+trm), an id number from 1-137 (zid) and three factors that may be related to outcome: disease (1-Acute Lymphocytic Leukemia (ALL), 2-Low risk Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) and 3-High risk AML), the French-American-British Disease grade for AML (fab=1 if AML and Grade 4 or 5, 0 otherwise), and recipient age at transplant (age).

We first will examine regression models for disease free survival based on the Kaplan-Meier estimator. We will use the SAS macro ‘pseudosurv’ to compute the pseudo-values. In this example we compute pseudo values at 100, 200, 400 and 600 days. We assume that the macro is in a file ‘sasmac’ in the current directory. The SAS code to compute the pseudo-values and put them into a permanent SAS data set ‘pseudoval’ is as follows:

```sas
data one;
input tdfs trm relapse dfs id disease fab age;
lines;
2081 0 0 0 1 1 0 26
1602 0 0 2 1 0 21
. . .
113 0 1 1 136 3 0 31
363 1 0 1 137 3 0 52
;
libname out ";
%include 'sasmac';
data times;
input tau;
lines;
100
200
400
600;
run;
%pseudosurv(one,tdfs,dfs,137,times,in.pseudoval)
proc print;
```
The data set `in.pseudoval` contains the following:

```
Obs  tdfs  trm  rel  dfs  id  disease  fab  rage  pseudo  tpseudo
1    1     1    0    1     35     1      0    42     0       100
2    2     1    0    1    108     3      1    20     0       100
...  ...
336  390    0    1    1    117     3      1    23    -0.01    400
337  414    1    0    1     68     2      1    21     1.00    400
...  ...
547 2569   0    0    0     39     2      1    19     1       600
548 2640   0    0    0     93     3      0    18     1       600
```

To compute regression estimates we use `proc GENMOD`. The code to fit a model using the complementary log-log link is as follows:

```
proc genmod;
class zid disease (param=ref ref=first) tpseudo
        (param=ref ref=first);
   FWDLINK LINK=LOG(-log(1-MEAN_));
   INVLINK ILINK=1-EXP(-Exp(_XBETA_));
model pseudo= tpseudo disease fab age/dist=normal
        noscale;
repeated subject=zid/corr=ind ;
```

The output is

```
Analysis Of GEE Parameter Estimates
Empirical Standard Error Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Pr &gt;</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.1898</td>
<td>0.3628</td>
<td>0.4787</td>
<td>1.9008</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpseudo</td>
<td>-0.6182</td>
<td>0.1299</td>
<td>-0.8728</td>
<td>-0.3635</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpseudo</td>
<td>-1.0398</td>
<td>0.1568</td>
<td>-1.3471</td>
<td>-0.7325</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disease</td>
<td>0.9736</td>
<td>0.3009</td>
<td>-1.6344</td>
<td>1.5633</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fab</td>
<td>-0.5737</td>
<td>0.2650</td>
<td>-1.0932</td>
<td>-0.0543</td>
<td>0.0304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>-0.0200</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>-0.0440</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>0.1025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
The model shows that patients with AML low risk have better disease free survival than ALL patients (Relative Risk, RR=exp(-0.9736)=0.38) and that AML patients with grade 4 or 5 FAB have a lower disease free survival (RR=exp(0.5737)=1.77).

Without re-computing the pseudo values we could examine the effect of FAB over time. We need to create in the data set a FAB indicator at each of the time points and rerun PROC GENMOD. The code is

```plaintext
data timedep; set in.pseudoval;
if tpseudo=100 then fab100=fab; else fab100=0;
if tpseudo=200 then fab200=fab; else fab200=0;
if tpseudo=400 then fab400=fab; else fab400=0;
if tpseudo=600 then fab600=fab; else fab600=0;
proc genmod;
class zid disease (param=ref ref=first) tpseudo (param=ref ref=first);
FWDLINK LINK=LOG(-log(1-_MEAN_));
INVLINK ILINK=1-EXP(-Exp(_XBETA_));
model pseudo= tpseudo disease fab100 fab200 fab400 fab600
repeated subject=zid/corr=ind ;
contrast 'fab'
fab100 1 fab200 0 fab400 0 fab600 0,
fab100 0 fab200 1 fab400 0 fab600 0,
fab100 0 fab200 0 fab400 1 fab600 0,
fab100 0 fab200 0 fab400 0 fab600 1/wald;
contrast 'fab by time'
fab100 1 fab200 -1 fab400 0 fab600 0,
fab100 0 fab200 1 fab400 -1 fab600 0,
fab100 0 fab200 0 fab400 1 fab600 -1/wald;
```

Here the two contrast statements test for an overall FAB effect and if the FAB effect changes with time, respectively. The relevant output is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Pr &gt;</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.7575</td>
<td>0.1950</td>
<td>0.3753</td>
<td>1.1397</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpseudo 200</td>
<td>-0.7413</td>
<td>0.1617</td>
<td>-1.0582</td>
<td>-0.4244</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpseudo 400</td>
<td>-1.1113</td>
<td>0.1873</td>
<td>-1.4784</td>
<td>-0.7443</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpseudo 600</td>
<td>-1.3184</td>
<td>0.1980</td>
<td>-1.7065</td>
<td>-0.9302</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disease 2</td>
<td>0.8362</td>
<td>0.2841</td>
<td>0.2792</td>
<td>1.3931</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disease 3</td>
<td>-0.2002</td>
<td>0.3079</td>
<td>-0.8037</td>
<td>0.4034</td>
<td>0.5157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fab100</td>
<td>-0.6454</td>
<td>0.3207</td>
<td>-1.2741</td>
<td>-0.0168</td>
<td>0.0442</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contrast Results for GEE Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Square</th>
<th>Pr &gt; ChiSq</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fab</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>0.1857</td>
<td>Wald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fab by time</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.4593</td>
<td>Wald</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This model shows that there is no difference in the FAB effect over time (p=0.4593).

Now we implement the same operations with R. First we download the data into an R object, define the required time points and generate pseudo-values. We assume that the data are in the file ‘data.txt’ in the current directory.

```r
a <- read.table(file="data.txt", header=T)
cutoffs <- c(100,200,400,600)
pseudo <- pseudosurvival(a$tdfs,a$dfs,cutoffs)
```

The “pseudo” object is as follows.

```r
> pseudo[order(pseudo$time),]
   time cens tmax =100 tmax =200 tmax =400 tmax =600
35     1    1         0          0         0.0000      0.0000
108    2    1         0         0         0.0000      0.0000
     ... ...
117  390    1         1         1       -0.0094    -0.0080
68   414    1         1         1         1.0019    -0.0080
     ... ...
39   2569    0         1         1         1.0019      1.0032
93   2640    0         1         1         1.0019      1.0032
```

The second step requires some data manipulation to prepare for the GEE step.

```r
b <- NULL
for(j in 3:ncol(pseudo)) b <- rbind(b,cbind(a,pseudo = pseudo[,j],tpseudo = cutoffs[j-2]))
b <- b[order(b$id),]
library(geepack)
b$tpseudo <- as.factor(b$tpseudo)
b$disease <- as.factor(b$disease)
b$fab <- as.factor(b$fab)
b$id <- as.factor(b$id)
```
The analysis is completed with GEE regression using the object geese in the package GEEPACK[13].

```r
summary(fit <- geese(pseudo ~ tpseudo + disease + fab + rage, data = b, id=id, scale.fix=TRUE, family=gaussian, mean.link = "cloglog", corstr="independence"))
```

generating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>estimate</th>
<th>san.se</th>
<th>wald</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>1.1897</td>
<td>0.3736</td>
<td>10.1423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpseudo200</td>
<td>-0.6181</td>
<td>0.1316</td>
<td>22.0555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpseudo400</td>
<td>-1.0398</td>
<td>0.1575</td>
<td>43.6022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpseudo600</td>
<td>-1.3025</td>
<td>0.1702</td>
<td>58.5320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disease2</td>
<td>0.9735</td>
<td>0.3011</td>
<td>10.4533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disease3</td>
<td>-0.0490</td>
<td>0.3233</td>
<td>0.0229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fab1</td>
<td>-0.5737</td>
<td>0.2662</td>
<td>4.6458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rage</td>
<td>-0.0200</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>2.4818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parameter estimates are the same as those obtained using GENMOD in SAS, however variance estimates are a bit higher. In SAS the variance is estimated by a “sandwich” estimator \( \hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}) \) presented in equation (3). By default, the “geese” function in R uses a different “sandwich” estimator of the variance proposed in [13]. In the examples where we used this estimator it was consistently larger than \( \hat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}) \). An alternative to the sandwich estimator is the jackknife variance estimators [14]. The routine “geese” allows the user to decide between the fully iterated jackknife, the one-step jackknife, and approximate jackknife (AJ) variance estimates. We suggest using the AJ variance estimate. The code and results using that estimator are as follows:

```r
fit <- geese(pseudo ~ tpseudo + disease + fab + rage, data = b, id=id, jack=TRUE, scale.fix=TRUE, family=gaussian, mean.link = "cloglog", corstr="independence")
cbind(mean = round(fit$beta,4),
      SD = round(sqrt(diag(fit$vbeta.ajs)),4),
      Z = round(fit$beta/sqrt(diag(fit$vbeta.ajs)),4),
      P-value = round(fit$p.value,4))
```
To examine the effect of FAB over time we create four new variables

```r
b$fab100 <- 0; b$fab100[b$tpseudo==100] <- b$fab[b$tpseudo==100];
b$fab200 <- 0; b$fab200[b$tpseudo==200] <- b$fab[b$tpseudo==200];
b$fab400 <- 0; b$fab400[b$tpseudo==400] <- b$fab[b$tpseudo==400];
b$fab600 <- 0; b$fab600[b$tpseudo==600] <- b$fab[b$tpseudo==600];
b$fab100 <- as.factor(b$fab100)
b$fab200 <- as.factor(b$fab200)
b$fab400 <- as.factor(b$fab400)
b$fab600 <- as.factor(b$fab600)
```

and use them in the GEE regression model

```r
fit <- geese(pseudo ~ tpseudo + disease + fab100 + fab200 + fab400 + fab600, data = b, id=id, jack=TRUE, scale.fix=TRUE, family=gaussian, mean.link = "cloglog", corstr="independence")
```

The results are

```
mean   SD       Z     PVal
(Intercept)  0.7575 0.1917  3.9510 0.0001
tpseudo200  -0.7413 0.1578 -4.6970 0.0000
tpseudo400  -1.1113 0.1828 -6.0801 0.0000
tpseudo600  -1.3183 0.1933 -6.8219 0.0000
disease2     0.8362 0.2797  2.9900 0.0028
fab1001     -0.6454 0.3187 -2.0253 0.0428
fab2001     -0.3262 0.2728 -1.1955 0.2319
fab4001     -0.4075 0.2855 -1.4275 0.1534
fab6001     -0.5906 0.3155 -1.8719 0.0612
```

To test the overall FAB effect we use the following R code.
To test if the FAB effect differs with time we use the following R code.

\[
C <- \begin{pmatrix} 
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
SSH0 <- (C %*% fit$beta) %*% solve(C %*% fit$vbeta.ajs %*% t(C)) %*% (C %*% fit$beta)
\]

\[
1 - pchisq(SSH0, nrow(C))
\]

\[
[,1] 0.4443
\]

For the restricted mean time to treatment failure we use the SAS macro or the R function “pseudomean”. To illustrate we look at a regression model for the mean time to treatment failure restricted to 2000 days. Here we use the identity link function. The SAS code, assuming the macro was in the file ‘pseudomu’ is

```
%include 'pseudomu';
%pseudomean(one, tdfs, dfs, 137, 2000, outdata);
proc genmod;
class zid disease (param=ref ref=first);
model psumean= disease fab rage/dist=normal link=id
   noscale;
repeated subject=id/corr=ind;
```

**The relevant output is**

| Parameter  | Estimate | Error | Limits     | Z    | Pr > |Z|  |
|------------|----------|-------|------------|------|------|---|
| Intercept  | 1154.997 | 219.2613 | 725.2530 | 1584.741 | 5.27 | <.0001 |
| disease 2 | 630.5407 | 185.4911 | 266.9848 | 994.0967 | 3.40 | 0.0007 |
| disease 3 | 143.5041 | 216.8834 | -281.580 | 568.5878 | 0.66 | 0.5082 |
| fab       | -518.600 | 169.5438 | -850.900 | -186.301 | -3.06 | 0.0022 |
| age       | -11.5556 | 6.8876 | -25.0551 | 1.9438 | -1.68 | 0.0934 |
Here we see that AML low risk patients have the longest restricted mean life, namely 630.5 days longer than ALL patients and that AML patients with FAB class 4/5 have lifetimes 578.6 days shorter than the reference group.

The analogous R commands and output would be

```r
a<-read.table(file="data.txt", header=T)
a <- cbind(a,pseudo = pseudomean(time=a$tdfs, dead=a$dfs, tmax=2000)$psumean)
library(geepack)
a$disease <- as.factor(a$disease)
summary(fit <- geese(pseudo ~ rage + fab + disease, data = a, id=id, jack = T, family=gaussian, corstr="independence", scale.fix=F))
```

```r
cbind(mean = round(fit$beta,4),
   SD = round(sqrt(diag(fit$vbeta.ajs)),4),
   Z = round(fit$beta/sqrt(diag(fit$vbeta.ajs)),4),
   PVal = round(2-2*pnorm(abs(fit$beta/sqrt(diag(fit$vbeta.ajs)))),4))
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>PVal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>1154.9972</td>
<td>223.1147</td>
<td>5.1767</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disease2</td>
<td>630.5407</td>
<td>187.2927</td>
<td>3.3666</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disease3</td>
<td>143.5041</td>
<td>220.7480</td>
<td>0.6501</td>
<td>0.5156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fab</td>
<td>-518.6004</td>
<td>172.8409</td>
<td>-3.0004</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rage</td>
<td>-11.5556</td>
<td>7.0672</td>
<td>-1.6351</td>
<td>0.1020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This R output shows elevated standard deviations resulting in higher P-values than in SAS output.

The restricted mean pseudo values with an identity link can also be used with the “gee” function from the “gee” package [14] as follows.

```r
library(gee)
fit <- gee(pseudo ~ disease + fab + rage, data = a, id=id, family=gaussian, corstr="independence", scale.fix=F)
cbind(mean = round(fit$coef,4),
   SD=round(sqrt(diag(fit$robust.variance)),4),
   Z=round(fit$coef/sqrt(diag(fit$robust.variance)),4),
   PVal=round(2-2*pnorm(abs(fit$coef/sqrt(diag(fit$robust.variance)))),4))
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>PVal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>1154.9972</td>
<td>232.1147</td>
<td>5.1767</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disease2</td>
<td>630.5407</td>
<td>185.4911</td>
<td>3.3666</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disease3</td>
<td>143.5041</td>
<td>216.8834</td>
<td>0.6617</td>
<td>0.5082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fab</td>
<td>-518.6004</td>
<td>169.5438</td>
<td>-3.0588</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rage</td>
<td>-11.5556</td>
<td>6.8876</td>
<td>-1.6777</td>
<td>0.0934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The function “gee” which used the sandwich estimator (3) to estimate the variance shows results identical to SAS. However, “gee” requires the use of a default link function (identity for the normal) and does not allow the selection of the complementary log-log as needed with the pseudo-value approach for survival and cumulative hazard functions.

For the cumulative incidence function we use the SAS macro and the R function “pseudoci” to compute the pseudo-values. To illustrate the SAS code we fit the complementary log-log model to the relapse cumulative incidence evaluated at 100, 200, 400, 600 days. Assuming the macro is in the file ‘pseudoci.txt’ the SAS code is

```
%include 'pseudoci.txt';
data times;
input tau ;
cards;
100
200
400
600
run;
%pseudoci(one,tdfs,rel,term,137,times,in.dataoutcr);
data two;  set in.dataoutcr ;
  dis2=0; dis3=0; if disease=2 then dis2=1;
  if disease=3 then dis3=1;
proc print data=two round;
proc genmod;
class zid tpseudo ;
FWD LINK LINK=LOG(-log(1-_MEAN_));
INVLINK ILINK=1-EXP(-Exp(_XBETA_));
model rpseudo= tpseudo dis3 dis2 fab /dist=normal noscale noint;
repeated subject=zid/corr=ind ;
```

A partial listing of the SAS output is as follows:

```
    d       r     d     t
    i       p     p     p
    s       s     s     s
    t       e     e     e     e     d     d
1    0     d     t     r     d     a     f     a     t     u     u     u     i     i
2    b     f     r     e     f     i     s     a     g     a     d     d     d     s     s
3    s     s     m     l     s     d     e     b     e     u     o     o     o     o     2     3
1    1     1     0     1     35     1     0     42     100     0     1     100     0     0
2    1     1     0     1     35     1     0     42     200     0     1     200     0     0
3    1     1     0     1     35     1     0     42     400     0     1     400     0     0
4    1     1     0     1     35     1     0     42     600     0     1     600     0     0
  . . .
Analysis Of GEE Parameter Estimates
```
### Empirical Standard Error Estimates

| Parameter | Estimate | Error  | Limits       | Z    | Pr > |Z| |
|-----------|----------|--------|--------------|------|-------|-------------|
| Intercept | 0.0000   | 0.0000 | 0.0000       | 0.0000 | .     | .           |
| tpsesudo 100 | 2.5704 | 0.6280 | 1.3395 3.8012 | 4.09 | <.0001 | |
| tpsesudo 200 | 2.0100 | 0.6183 | 0.7982 3.2218 | 3.25 | 0.0012 | |
| tpsesudo 400 | 1.5875 | 0.6004 | 0.4107 2.7644 | 2.64 | 0.0082 | |
| tpsesudo 600 | 1.4160 | 0.5935 | 0.2527 2.5792 | 2.39 | 0.0170 | |
| dis3       | 0.3183  | 0.5775 | -0.8136 1.4502 | 0.55 | 0.5815 | |
| dis2       | 1.7435  | 0.6561 | 0.4577 3.0294 | 2.66 | 0.0079 | |
| fab        | -1.1645 | 0.5079 | -2.1600 -0.1689 | -2.29 | 0.0219 | |
| rage       | -0.0146 | 0.0209 | -0.0555 0.0263 | -0.70 | 0.4847 | |

Here the model suggests that the AML low risk patients have the least likelihood of relapse and the AML FAB 4/5 the highest chance of relapse. Note that here we are modeling the probability of having relapsed where for the Kaplan-Meier curves we are modeling the probability of the event occurring.

R implementation uses the function “pseudoci” which produces a dataset where the time and status variables are presented in the first two columns and the pseudo-values are located in columns starting from the third. Odd numbered columns correspond to the competing risk with indicator 1 and even numbered columns for the competing risk numbered two. A pair of pseudo-values is given for each time point in “datatau.” In the example, the third column represents the relapse pseudo-value at 100 days, the fourth the trm pseudo-value at 100 day, the fifth the relapse pseudo-value at 200 days, the sixth the
trm pseudo-value at 200 days, and so forth. In order to use the “geese” function we need only relapse pseudo-values arranged in one column and in another column we need the pseudo-value’s time points. The six lines of code in bold after the call to “pseudoci” merge the output of the function with the original data and prepare it for analysis using the function “geese.” The program and output are given below:

```
a<-read.table(file="data.txt", header=T)
cutoffs <- c(100,200,400,600)
a$icr <- a$rel + 2 * a$trm
#This code creates a competing risk indicator with value  # 1 if relapse, 2 if dead in remission, 0 if censored
pseudo <- pseudoci(a$tdfs,a$icr,cutoffs)

rel_mask <- c(100,-1,200,-1,400,-1,600,-1)
b <- NULL
for(j in 3:ncol(pseudo)) b <- rbind(b,cbind(a,pseudo =
pseudo[,j],tpseudo = rel_mask[j-2]))
b <- b[order(b$id),]
b <- b[b$tpseudo != -1,]
library(geepack)
b$tpseudo <- as.factor(b$tpseudo)
b$disease <- as.factor(b$disease)
b$fab <- as.factor(b$fab)
fit <- geese(pseudo ~ tpseudo + disease + fab + rage - 1 , data =
b, id=id, jack = T,
scale.fix=TRUE, family=gaussian, mean.link = "cloglog",
corstr="independence")
cbind(mean = round(fit$beta,4),
SD = round(sqrt(diag(fit$vbeta.ajs)),4),
Z = round(fit$beta/sqrt(diag(fit$vbeta.ajs)),4),
PVal = round(2-2*pnorm(abs(fit$beta/sqrt(diag(fit$vbeta.ajs)))),4))

mean     SD       Z   PVal
tpseudo100 -2.5704 0.6495 -3.9577 0.0001
tpseudo200 -2.0100 0.6404 -3.1387 0.0017
tpseudo400 -1.5875 0.6237 -2.5455 0.0109
tpseudo600 -1.4160 0.6170 -2.2948 0.0217
disease2  -1.7435 0.6687 -2.6072 0.0091
disease3  -0.3183 0.5910 -0.5386 0.5902
fab1        1.1645 0.5235  2.2244 0.0261
rage        0.0146 0.0220  0.6640 0.5067
```

Again the estimates are identical to those obtained in SAS but the bootstrap standard errors are slightly larger.

5 Discussion
We have presented SAS macros and R functions to find pseudo-values for the survival function, the restricted mean and the cumulative incidence function. The routines can be found on our website at http://www.biostat.mcw.edu/software/SoftMenu.html

The regression models for the survival function and cumulative incidence functions can be based on the functions at a single point in time or they can be for several points of the curves. When a regression model for the entire curve is to be studied we recommend five to ten time points roughly evenly spaced on the event scale. In the examples we used an independent working covariance matrix for the GEE calculations. Another possibility is to use the empirical correlations between the pseudo-values. [6]

The “geese” function from the R package “geepack” was used for GEE fitting. The “gee” function did not allow us to change mean link function to complementary log for the Gaussian family. However, “gee” sandwich variance estimates are identical to those in SAS, which is not true for “geese”.
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